EXHIBIT 6

000)1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3	WESTERN DIVISION
4	AMERICAN PAN COMPANY,)
5	Plaintiff,)
6	-vs-) No. C-3-06-197
7	LOCKWOOD MANUFACTURING, INC.,)
8	Defendant.)
9	
10	The deposition of Richard Barton, taken
11	in the above-entitled cause before Susan Maul, CSR
12	No. 84-2501, a notary public within and for the
13	County of Will and State of Illinois, taken
14	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
15	for the United States District Courts, 200 North
16	LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 17th of
17	May, A.D., 2007, at the hour of 12:15 o'clock p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

0002	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	BAILEY, CAVALIERI, LLC
3	10 West Broad Street
4	Suite 2100
5	Columbus, OH 43215-3422
6	(614) 229-3209
7	BY: MR. W. EVAN PRICE, II
8	On behalf of the Plaintiff;
9	MUELLER, SMITH & MATTO
10	7700 Rivers Edge Drive
11	Columbus, OH 43235-1355
12	(614) 436-0600
13	BY: MR. EDWARD A. MATTO
14	On behalf of the Defendant.
15	SEYFARTH & SHAW, LLP
16	131 South Dearborn Street
17	Suite 2400
18	Chicago, IL 60603-5577
19	(312) 460-5000
20	BY: MS. ANDREA C. OKUN
21	
22	
23	

- 1 Mr. Cornelius?
- 2 A. I believe I told Mike, and HR should have
- 3 as well.
- 4 MR. MATTO: Okay. No further questions.
- 5 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. PRICE:
- 7 Q. Just briefly, Mr. Barton.
- 8 You alluded to sort of the main frame or
- 9 the central server having a complete set of the
- 10 customer records for Chicago Metallic; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes. Because we had multi plants, we had
- 13 a plant in Humboldt, Tennessee and Lake Zurich, and
- 4 we needed to have a central database, so sales in
- 15 customer service in Lake Zurich could get at the
- 16 information and know product specs as well as
- 17 anyone in production or engineering who would need
- 18 the specs to be able to produce the product or buy
- 19 the material.
- 20 So we had a central network where multi
- 21 employees could get at that information, use it,
- 22 and not tie up the laptop.
- 23 Q. Okay. Ms. Bryan would have had access to
- 24 that central computer repository of information?

004	17	
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Would you have expected that she would
3	have l	nad a copy of that on her laptop?
4	A.	I would not expect that.
5	MR	A. PRICE: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further.
6		FURTHER EXAMINATION (Continued)
7	BY M	IR. MATTO:
8	Q.	Are you aware of other employees that
9	would	download any information onto their laptop
10	for co	onvenience of working?
11	A.	The intent would be to download what you
12	neede	ed onto your laptop, work with it, do what you
13	have	to do with it and then move on.
14	MI	R. MATTO: No further questions.
15	MI	R. PRICE: Thank you, Mr. Barton.
16	MS	S. OKUN: We'll reserve.
17		FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

- 1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)
- 2) SS:
- 3 COUNTY OF WILL)
- 4 I, Susan Maul, a notary public within and for
- 5 the County of Will and State of Illinois, do hereby
- 6 certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 17th of
- 7 September, A. D. 2007, Richard Barton, personally
- 8 appeared before me, at 200 North LaSalle Street, in
- 9 the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness
- 10 in a certain cause now pending and undetermined in
- 11 the United States District Court, wherein American
- 12 Pan Company is plaintiff and Lockwood Manufacturing
- 13 is defendant.
- 14 I further certify that the said witness was
- 15 first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole
- 16 truth and nothing but the truth in the cause afore-
- 17 said; that the testimony then given by said witness
- 18 was reported stenographically by me, in the
- 19 presence of the said witness, and afterwards
- 20 reduced to typewriting by Computer-Aided
- 21 Transcription, and the foregoing is a true and
- 22 correct transcript of the testimony so given by
- 23 said witness as aforesaid.
- 24 I further certify that the signature of the

00: 1	witness to the deposition was not waived.
_	•
2	I further certify that the taking of this
3	deposition was in pursuance of notice; and that
4	there were present at the taking of this deposition
5	the attorneys as hereinbefore noted.
6	I further certify that I am not counsel for nor
7	in any way related to the parties to this suit, nor
8	am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
9	In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my
10	hand and affixed my notarial seal this day
11	of, 2007.
12	
13	
14	
15	Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	